

University Council Technology Standing Committee

Minutes September 3, 2013 2:30 pm

MEETING CALLED BY	Mary Hardin, Chair
TYPE OF MEETING	Monthly Meeting
FACILITATOR	Mary Hardin, Chair
NOTE TAKER	Margaret Canzonetta
ATTENDEES	Members Present: Linda Barrett, Aimee DeChambeau, Mary Hardin, Gene Marchand, Stewart Moritz, Alvaro Rodriguez, Anthony Serpette Guests: Dr. John Savery, Margaret Canzonetta Absent with notice: Chris Kuhn, Phyllis O'Connor, Jim Sage

Agenda topics

CALL TO ORDER

DISCUSSION	Mary Hardin called the meeting to order. The July 31, 2013 meeting minutes were approved with a minor change. The minutes have been posted on the UC Sharepoint site. The August IT board report is also on Sharepoint.
------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

CCTC

DISCUSSION	John Savery reported that Thomas Calderon is chairing the desktop video conferencing committee. Purchasing is waiting on a scoring sheet from the committee before finalization of the rfp.
------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

BYOD

DISCUSSION	Gene reported that the MDM contract with AirWatch is next on legal's list for review.
------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

ADVISORY PROJECT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

DISCUSSION	Aimee attended a meeting of the Advisory Project Management Committee via telephone conference. She has some concerns. She questions when faculty do and do
------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	not get involved and what is an academic faculty issue. The committee is an incredibly large group of people and it is difficult to have consensus. Little decision making is being done. Also where does everyone fit in? She is unclear how projects get funneled to this group. She is concerned about the governance. Mary responded that as an advisory committee, we don't even know what is being proposed and cannot offer any advice. Aimee noted that there is a potential gap in things that do get implemented.

PROPOSED CHANGES TO UNIVERSITY COUNCIL

DISCUSSION	<p>Mary reported that the Board reviewed the by-laws and recommended that it have less structure, be responsive and nimble, just in time, and have a strategy and planning for the representative groups. They felt that issues could go around and around which has the potential for things not being resolved. The UC Executive Committee is making the suggestion to changes to University Council.</p> <p>It was recommended that the standing committees play a more important role. They might be taking issues directly to the Council of Deans and VP meetings. Mary's concern is how we coordinate between committees? The committee felt that the IT standing committee is unique in that there is full participation and the VP is engaged.</p> <p>Aimee questioned how the HLC would respond to the changes. Stewart doesn't think they would want what is being proposed. He felt the committees are just now working and doesn't understand why changes are being proposed now. Anthony asked about access to the HLC governance question and response.</p> <p>Mary indicated that the University Council Steering Committee will be talking about this at the next meeting. She will summarize the meeting for our committee. Mary suggested that members go to the UC Sharepoint site to see the roles of the different committees and their plans. There again is the concern with coordination of the committees.</p>

COMMITTEE GOALS

DISCUSSION	Mary stated that we cannot work on the committee's goals until a decision on the structure of University Council is done.

The meeting adjourned at 3:40 pm.